

Friday, March 04, 2011  
4:13 PM



**John (Jack) R. Venrick**

---

**From:** "Jack Venrick" <jacksranch@skynetbb.com>  
**To:** "AJack R. Venrick" <jacksranch@skynetbb.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, October 24, 2008 11:11 AM  
**Subject:** The Theory of Plate Tectonics in Auburn WA or Plate Movement in Red Light Districts

Dear Mayor Lewis, Council of "Stalag 13" Auburn, WA, AWC, Olympia, Media, Property Owners & Inmates of Other Gulags in Puget Sound:

I thought many of you in and around Auburn might be interested in the "national" press Auburn is receiving. Looks like two other towns in America ran away instead of embracing Red Light Money. Cities will justify anything for money. I thought this was an interesting twist to the prison make - work activity of manufacturing license plates. There is no end to what any mind in the government can do to when it wants to rationalize another program for the "benefit" of its tax payers.

So here's a slightly "distorted" big picture if you will, of Washington government. I am hoping you government types can stretch a bit here and relate to my distorted outlook on government. After all I don't own anything anymore, I'm just lease it from the government. Here is a short example of how I think government works.

- One branch of the government orders all cars to be licensed. (legislature).
- Another branch makes the plates (the prisons).
- The same branch that ordered the plates makes more laws against the driving public to give another branch more jobs. (WDOT)
- The DOT then takes the money but does not build anymore roads and uses the money to build more big government programs.
- This creates such hell on wheels that instantly two other government departments are needed, i.e. State Patrol and

3/4/2011

- the courts.
- This allows the WA State Patrol to be able to issue more tickets against those same plates because people go crazy not going anywhere.
  - The courts love it because they have more work and more money and a career.
  - Another branch of government comes up with another scheme to make more money off this same moving plate idea.
  - This branch puts up cameras and takes pictures of these same plates and sends the drivers a ticket, owner or not.
  - Another branch of the government sees more revenue with this moving plate idea.
  - The DOT decide to set up toll roads and take pictures of these same plates and charge them for driving on roads even though they were already paid for or should have been paid for, with the other tax payer money, e.g. gas tax, vehicle tax, license fees, sales tax, income tax, etc.
  - Then the quasi government business institutions, the insurance companies, love the moving plate idea so much because they too can see money all over this new physics of plate movement to watch their customers more closely.
  - This nonsense perpetuates itself, ad nauseam until the country implodes, the people revolt or all of the above.

This is called the government theory of plate tectonics. It is the natural shifty movement of government and institutional forces pushing people around trying to support their bloated programs. Some people confuse plate tectonics with the movement of continents but that is old Newtonian physics. I am talking about Auburnonian physics here. This is an amazing new science right up there with man caused global warming.

Through Auburnonian and Seattleonian physics, scientists have recently discovered "quarks" in the laws or simply quirks. This new quirky government science also discovered man caused global warming. These government quirks have discovered they can take all your money

3/4/2011



and do nothing productive with it. One example is recent Seattleonian and Kingcountyonian studies substantiate mass transit tax using this new Auburnonian/Seattleonian physics because the plate tectonics theory was not moving fast enough. Government scientist cannot figure out why there is never enough money to move the plates even though the UofW received 10% of the State budget to help them help themselves to more money to give them periodic assessments on how much more it will take to do anything. UofW together with Washington State Office of Finance Management has recently made a another big discovery that it will cost a lot of money to pay for all the property the government has been taking for free. Its logic moved mountains when it concluded that taking for free was more cost effective than complying with the Constitution and paying just compensation. The science of the government mind is blinding.

Never mind trying to improve the flow of traffic through Auburn and helping commuters and the customers of local businesses. Never mind being nice to the existing manufactures in Auburn (*that provide much-needed jobs*) or the home owners, or the other 40,000 property owners and tax payers. Never mind not taking from the citizens, the businesses and being content with what you have. Never mind not taking at all and giving everything back. Never mind just working for free or volunteering your time and not taxing anybody but yourself.

"As far as traffic cameras go for red light traffic enforcement – we have two intersections with cameras. We would like to have more but right now there are just two. We aren't going to apologize for bad drivers. Our response as a city to those people who don't live or work in Auburn that are making up more than 50% of those getting tickets – don't run a red light in Auburn. We are tired of putting our men, women and children at risk because you won't obey one of the simplest traffic laws."

Pete Lewis, Mayor of Auburn

I think there are more people in and around Auburn WA that are "tired" of putting themselves, their businesses, their homes, their land and their lives at risk to the government. It would be more cost effective, I believe, to put these cameras in every government office in the cities, counties and State of Washington than on the streets of Auburn. The big crooks are in our government offices not on the street.

3/4/2011

To the City of Auburn, "risk" is worth exactly \$202,000 and \$38,400 to the Australian vendor Redflex, but would you believe that the picture is not what you think it is. Read the second news clip.

"A statewide audit of photo enforcement programs showed split-second violations accounted for as many as 88 percent of tickets issued."

You can say that the cities have "gone too far and taken too much" as usual. Anything for a buck in Stalag 13, Auburn, WA. How "tired" can the mayor and council be making \$202,000, I wonder? Of course that does not count court time tied up in dealing with these split second petty decisions, lost revenue from people not going to Auburn anymore, the increasing bad reputation which Auburn already has. I will certainly curb my visits to the mayors prison camp. Never mind that the traffic mess in Auburn is created by the city because they do nothing to improve it except make money from the problems they ignore, just like the State DOT.

Big government is a vicious positive feedback loop that destroys our freedoms, our lives and the economy. This is where we are at after 230 years of government gnawing at our constitutional freedoms and bending the laws. The majority of the voters have allowed this to happen because we do not have the cameras pointing in the right direction, i.e. at the crooks in the executive, legislative and court room government offices.

To fix all these problems I have a solution. I propose we have 24 x 7 public broadcasting of every government office in Washington including Auburn complete with infrared cameras, voice activated microphones, lie detectors, body heat sensors and electronic stimulation probes. Anytime the voice activate software hears anyone of these words even once, then that government person speaking those words gets a shock and a ticket and goes before a public court of the property owners in his/her district to be disciplined. I'm sure you can think of many more key words and ideas to add.

3/4/2011



- tax
- spend
- regulate
- impose
- eminent domain
- critical area
- wetland
- endangered
- fish
- bird
- species
- animal
- court
- sue
- Nature Conservancy
- 1000 Future Friends of Washington
- Audubon Society
- Sierra Club
- any other environmental extreme group
- union
- lobby
- take
- pressure
- upgrade
- rezone
- buffer
- limited
- green
- etc.

Merry Christmas to everyone even in Auburn City government. May you and your Council of Stalag 13 find the Christmas Spirit.

Jack Venrick  
Rural Property Owner Just Outside of Stalag 13 and inside King County

3/4/2011

Gulag  
Enumclaw, WA

<http://www.thenewspaper.com/news/14/1468.asp>

**theNewspaper.com**  
*A journal of the politics of driving*

9/12/2006

**Auburn, Washington Residents Challenge Red Light Tickets**

*One in ten motorists is fighting back against the red light camera system in Auburn, Washington.*

Instead of just paying the red light camera fine that appeared in the mailbox over the past several weeks, one in ten recipients in Auburn, Washington have chosen to exercise their rights in court. So far, some 213 challenges have been made on 2000 tickets issued between July 1 and August 31. Auburn Municipal Court will hear the first set of cases next week.



Auburn police consider this number of challenges to be a sign of success since more than one-half contested the traffic tickets they received in 2003. The city also denies the primary purpose of the program has been the \$202,000 in revenue raised in just two months. Australian camera vendor Redflex pocketed \$38,400 from that amount as a fee for operating every aspect of the program on Auburn's behalf.

Source: Auburn motorists contesting red light tickets(King County Journal (WA) , 9/12/2006)

**California: Cops Reject Red Light Camera Sales Pitch**

*Monterey Park, California chooses engineering improvements at intersections over the installation of red light cameras.*

At least sixty-eight cities in the state of California have accepted



3/4/2011

what is an increasingly irresistible sales presentation from red light camera vendors such as Texas-based ACS and Australia's Redflex. These companies approach local officials and police departments offering substantial revenue with no strings attached and no effort required on the part of police. Monterey Park is one of the handful of cities to reject the pitch.

"The company told us we have a red-light running problem," Monterey Park Police Sergeant David Elliott told the San Gabriel Valley Tribune. "They said we had 300 people run the light over three days."

After examining photographs from a trial red light camera set up in the city, Elliott concluded that only two of them represented offenses for which a human police officer would issue a citation. The rest were split-second technical violations visible only to a camera lens. A statewide audit of photo enforcement programs showed split-second violations accounted for as many as 88 percent of tickets issued.

"I gave the system its due, and it showed it wasn't something that would benefit the community," Elliott said.

Monterey Park has chosen instead to make a number of engineering improvements known to yield substantial safety benefits, including adjusting signal timing.

Other cities have rejected light cameras after having tried the program for some time. Cupertino dropped the devices in 2004 saying the safety results were, "mixed at best." Fresno dropped them in 2005 and Santa Rosa in 2000 because they did not bring in enough revenue. Irvine dropped cameras in 2001.

Source:(San Gabriel Valley Tribune (CA), 11/11/2006)

### **Massachusetts Town Meetings Reject Red Light Cameras**

*Residents of two small towns in Massachusetts reject red light cameras in town meetings.*

Red light camera programs were shot down this month in two Massachusetts jurisdictions that use the broadly representative "town meeting" form of government. With a large number of residents participating, Foxborough last week turned aside attempts by Police Chief Edward O'Leary to install photo enforcement. O'Leary's motion proposal by a wide margin.



In Swampscott, sixty percent of members rejected cameras in a November 13 town meeting. An earlier town meeting in April had also shot down the idea, but the town's selectmen attempted to save the program by commissioning committee to examine

3/4/2011



the issue. The committee's [final report](#) suggested the cameras would cause more safety problems than they would prevent.

"The committee feels that utilizing the town's law enforcement powers to raise revenue is inappropriate," the report stated.

"When the only tool you own is a hammer, ("government"), every problem begins to resemble a nail ( "individual freedoms")"  
Abraham Maslow/"Jack Venrick"

3/4/2011